

DATE: September 22, 2020

TO: Mayor Stoney

FROM: Task Force to Reimagine Public Safety **CC**: Gerald M. Smith, Chief of Police

RE: Initial Recommendations, Task Force on Reimagining Public Safety

Over the past 45 days, the Task Force on Reimagining Public Safety has worked on their initial recommendations to advise the mayor and the City of Richmond on actionable ways to reimagine public safety. These recommendations are subject to change based on additional subgroup discussions, research, evaluations, and/or legal review. A final report will be submitted to Mayor Stoney and Chief Smith on November 5th, 2020.

Members of the task force were announced on July 10 and consist of a diverse group of community members, advocates, and experts to review the police department's use of force policies, explore approaches to public safety using a human services lens (specifically focused on calls for service), and prioritize community healing and engagement. The first public task force meeting took place on August 7, 2020, with scheduled meetings of the collective task force convening every other Friday. Each respective subgroup – Community Engagement and Healing, Human Service Lens, and Use of Force – has met weekly to discuss ideas/best practices, debate solutions, and learn from one another.

Task Force Members:

Bill Pantele Donté McCutchen Birdie Jamison Councilwoman Ellen **Brad Nixon** Robertson **Brandon Browne** George Brown Brandon Lovee Glenwood Burley **Brian Swann** Helena Hudson **Brian Williams** Iman Shabazz **Carol Adams Keisha Cummings** Collette McEachin Kimberly Russo Lashawnda Singleton **Courtney Winston** Daryl Fraser Lisa Moon

Destiny Hill

Devontae Scott

Councilman Mike Jones

Djibril Niang Natasha Crosby

Patrice Shelton Ram Bhagat Robert Morris Shanel Lewis Shatara Hurt Sheba Williams Shytina Huey Torey Edmonds Tracy Paner Triston Harris William Pelfry

Staff Support:

Chief Gerald Smith, Richmond Police Department
Chief Melvin Carter, Richmond Fire Department
Steve Willoughby, Richmond Department of Emergency Communications Director
DCAO Reggie Gordon, Richmond Human Services Director
Shunda Giles, Richmond Department of Social Services Director
James Davis, Community Ambassadors Program
John Lindstrom, Richmond Behavioral Health Authority CEO
Ruth Morrison, Richmond City Health District Policy Director
Valaryee Mitchell, Office of Community Wealth Building Director
Maggie Anderson, Office of the Mayor
Osita Iroegbu, Office of the Mayor

Human Services Lens Subgroup

Co-chairs: Torey Edmonds

Members: Lashawnda Singleton, Lisa Moon, Robert Morris, James Davis, Keisha Cummings, John Lindstrom, Shanel Lewis, Shunda Giles, Brian Swann, Reginald Gordon, Bill Pantele, Valaryee Mitchell, Stephen Willoughby, Cynthia Reyes, Lynda Sharp Anderson, Daryl Fraser, T. Paner and Councilwoman Robertson

Executive Summary

The Richmond Department of Emergency Communications gave a detailed description of the types of calls they receive; the Department of Social Services did a presentation of the 2nd responders (Both the Pros and Cons). The Office of Community Wealth Building gave us an overview of the services they provide, and we had a detailed presentation of the City of Richmond's Community Ambassadors program. The information from the listening sessions that the City of Richmond had with the residents were shared. The Richmond Police Department shared some demographic information about officer-initiated stops. RVA League for Safer Streets and 2love LLC, both community-based organizations, gave an overview of the work they do in the Richmond communities. The subgroup also had some resource mapping and asset mapping shared. All of the information and data shared with the subgroup were used to help develop the problem statement.

Problem Statement

Historically, there has been a primary emphasis on the law enforcement aspects of public safety. While policing is an important part of public safety, the failure to utilize community assets and incorporate the work of public service agencies has resulted in the police department having to directly respond to many non-criminal calls, such as those involving mental health and the homeless. Responding to non-criminal calls, which lie beyond their training, can lead to unnecessary confrontations between the police department and the community and lead to community mistrust.

Work Summary

The Human Services Lens Subgroup met weekly, starting August 12, 2020.

Criteria

- Community-based intervention
- Applying a human service lens to calls for service

Recommendation #1: New routing system should be developed so that mental health, conflict resolution, substance abuse, and other non-criminal calls are addressed by the appropriate community members and professionals.

- Developing routing systems to empower community members and police officers to forward calls for service to their proper locations.
- Work with the Richmond Department of Emergency Communications to find an immediate way to triage calls to get them diverted to appropriate places.
- Build the Ambassadors program to utilize those individuals in conjunction with mental health and other Social/Human services professionals to intervene in non-criminal calls as well.
- Community education on conflict intervention, and not responding to/relying upon police only for public safety.
- Provide more access to training programs and opportunities to train community
 members to do de-escalation. Building grassroots organizations to rely on themselves
 and avoid relying on the Police only to intervene in mental health and
 family/community conflict.
- Empower the community to create a shift on how to view these types of issues

Recommendation #2: Utilizing community assets and community members to work in public safety, and tapping into the community itself to provide human services and public safety.

- Human Services organizations must incorporate members of the community to work
 effectively in the community. Human services must intentionally begin to recruit and
 train people from the communities that are not often represented in those positions.
- Addressing barriers and structural factors that prevent community members from entering into those jobs and other professions.
- Acknowledging the essential role that poverty and the lack of resources play in community issues, beginning to apply pressure to the courts and legislatures who can help.
- Ensuring accountability that the new system will work and is working properly for the community.

Reflections from Members

The Task Force would like to continue to chip away at structural racism after the initial charge of the group has been met. The group wants to continue the work and keep the energy!

Use of Force Subgroup

Co-chairs: Sheba Williams, Natasha Crosby, and Courtney Winston

Members: Brian N. Williams, William Pelfrey, Mike Jones, Patrice Shelton, Brad Nixon, Colette

McEachin, Glenwood Burley, Kim Russo

Executive Summary

Our subgroup took a holistic approach to improve the implementation of Richmond Police Department's (RPD) use of force policies. We offer five recommendations that acknowledge and are designed to address the historic and contemporary harms that impact police-community relations. Our recommendations are to: (1) humanize RPD's use of force policies; (2) reimagine training practices; (3) increase communication, education and transparency; (4) improve accountability measures; and (5) create opportunities to improve officers' mental health. These proactive, yet collaboratively formulated steps are intentional. They are aimed at bringing the theory of just, equitable, and respectful democratic policing into practice to enhance community safety and wellbeing.

Problem Statement

Despite having use of force policies that align with national best practices and reported Richmond Police Department data suggesting a decline in total use of force complaints over the past several years, the public still has a negative perception of the Richmond Police Department because of a lack of accountability measures, transparency, and a perceived lack of compassion from officers during interactions. This subcommittee recognizes that research and studies show that use of force complaints are historically underreported due to a lack of trust between the community and the police department.

Work Summary

This subgroup has met six times (weekly) since August 7, 2020 to discuss use of force policies, in addition to three full body meetings where the data, best practices, and recommendations were discussed with all task force members. We received presentations from RPD (use of force policies, community programs, hiring processes, officer mental health, and use of force reporting) and our own member, Dr. William Pelfrey, who compared RPD's use of force policies to Minneapolis' policies and discussed CALEA and accreditation.

Criteria

The following have guided the subgroup's work:

- 1. Improving accountability measures
- 2. Providing community education
- 3. Increasing transparency

- 4. Progressive policymaking
- 5. Equity

This subgroup is reimagining public safety by taking a holistic approach to improve implementation of the Richmond Police Department's use of force policies. Even though these initial recommendations are subject to change as we dive deeper into this process, we are considering the following recommendations:

Recommendation #1: Humanize Use of Force Policies

After reviewing the Richmond Police Department's (RPD) Use of Force Policies, this subgroup found that the policies themselves are well written and meet national standards (as the RPD is an accredited department). However, despite sound policies, the implementation of said policies is often at the discretion of officers, which can lead to discrepancies (especially in communities of color). Furthermore, the policy document, for which training is based, does not humanize the use of force continuum.

We recommend the following: (1) emphasize de-escalation upfront and require de-escalation accountability measures, similar to <u>Minneapolis'</u> new Use of Force policies, (2) include a RPD philosophy and values statement up front, similar to <u>Eugene</u>; and, (3) be required to intervene to stop any RPD member from conducting any act that is unethical, or that violates law or policy (e.g., excessive force, harassment, inappropriate behavior).

NOTE #1: Minneapolis' policies now will require officers to provide a written explanation of their de-escalation efforts in all police reports.

NOTE #3: Baltimore, MD has a thorough <u>Duty to Intervene</u> policy for which RPD should use as a best practice.

Recommendation #2: Reimagine Training Practices

Unfortunately for officers and the communities they serve, training is often limited due to the costs associated with it. For example, currently RPD officers only receive de-escalation training twice per year. Furthermore, de-escalation training is often coupled with broader use of force training and does not usually involve follow-up (e.g. survey of officers post-training). Lastly, most RPD trainings are taught "in-house," meaning outside expertise is not often sought.

We recommend the following: (1) implement more frequent de-escalation training (preferably quarterly, but at least three times per year); (2) implement a program similar to Chicago's Community Training Academy; and, (3) implement trauma informed care training and cultural sensitivity training (could be part of the community training academy).

NOTE: It should be noted that this subgroup emphasizes that the need of training implementation to come from the top-down. The leaders of RPD need to emphasize the importance of deescalation and the importance of building relationships with the community. This is imperative to the success of these training recommendations.

NOTE #2: "The Community Training Academy is a three day program that will bring in community members as teachers to help officers work more collaboratively, effectively, and respectfully with residents and stakeholders and teach them about the unique dynamics and historical factors of the community."

As this subgroup continues to discuss these recommendations, we will explore in more depth: (1) fair and impartial training; (2) hiring and bias screening processes; and, (3) police culture. Furthermore, this subcommittee and the full task force have discussed the need for officers to improve their communications skills, especially in communities of color, which will hopefully be a primary focus of de-escalation training.

Recommendation #3: Increase Communication, Education, and Transparency

As this subgroup was information gathering, we found that there is a lot of misinformation and information that is not easily accessible to the public regarding RPD. Therefore, we recommend the following: (1) create an easy to understand graphic and add additional <u>information</u> on RPD's website to demonstrate the use of force continuum; (2) host regular community town halls to share and discuss public safety information with the community; (3) create a more intuitive and easy to access webpage for RPD, that includes links to the entire RPD manual, use of force policies, reports and data, and community-led initiatives; and, (4) create a series of training videos, demonstrating officers going through the use of force training.

NOTE #3: Examples of strong websites: <u>Eugene</u> and <u>Milwaukee</u>. Milwaukee Police Department completed a re-branding process, which includes highlighting positive stories about officers working in the community.

NOTE #4: Training videos should include an explanation that all officers experience every level of force (except being shot) to know what it feels like when they exercise that force. Community members need to understand the Force Hierarchy and understand how and why officers progress through it.

Recommendation #4: Improve Accountability Measures

One area for which this task force has been especially focused is improving accountability measures for RPD. This subgroup fully supports the creation of an Independent Civilian Review Board with subpoena power, which we acknowledge is moving through a process via the Richmond City Council. Furthermore, we have identified several additional measures that will assist with improving accountability. We also acknowledge that this is an area for which we want to continue to explore in more detail moving forward.

We recommend the following: (1) creating RPD business cards for all officers to include identification information and a webpage address for residents to submit a complaint or compliment, and mandating that these cards are provided to residents on certain calls for service; (2) creating an anonymous reporting system, via a third party, for residents to file complaints

against officers, and/or create an "Office of Compliance," to have oversight over non-compliance; and, (3) provide summary of body camera review policies and how body camera footage is used.

NOTE #3: RPD uses body camera data as both evidence and as an officer accountability measure. Body camera data can be retained up to two years by RPD. Supervisors regularly conduct officer accountability checks by reviewing randomly selected body camera data. Those reviews can produce recommendations to the officer regarding officer/citizen interactions. Greater clarity on the RPD body camera policy (via easily accessible information on the RPD website) would serve as an effective communication device.

Recommendation #5: Create Opportunities to Improve Officer Mental Health

It has become apparent through members' conversations and interactions with RPD officers that officers suffer from being overworked and are exposed to many situations that may result in trauma. Even though RPD has a therapist on staff and officers can volunteer for counseling sessions, or in some cases be mandated to attend, there is still a stigma attached to seeking help. Therefore, we are exploring ways to improve officer mental health and morale as this can also prevent unnecessary uses of force.

We recommend the following: (1) shortening shift hours from 10 to 12 hours; (2) mandating mental health check-ins for all officers on a regular basis; and, (3) implementing award programs for officers who perform well on duty to improve morale.

NOTE #1: The National Police Foundation conducted a randomized <u>experiment</u> on shift length and found that 10 hour shifts allowed for officers to get more sleep per night, improved the quality of work life, and had some cost savings associated as officers worked less overtime. We are still exploring different options for improving mental health and morale as we believe improvements to officer mental health could assist in an overall cultural shift within the department.

Recommendation #6: Standardize the Approach Language Utilized by Officers

The research on police/resident interaction demonstrates that respectful, polite language leads to fewer negative encounters, thereby decreasing the use of force (see for example work by Stephen Mastrofski). Police agencies need to recognize that a portion (although not all) of use of force incidents could be avoided through effective officer/resident communication. When an officer approaches persons with a polite and respectful manner, predicated on Fair and Impartial Policing practices, the potential for conflict can be reduced. Officers may then reasonably request the same from persons if such a manner is not forthcoming. Expecting a respectful manner from residents when the officer is not engaging in respectful dialogue is not reasonable.

The Use of Force Subgroup recommends utilization of the <u>training mechanism</u> shared by Brian Williams (password for video: lighthouse):

All officers should approach residents with a clear statement of purpose. For example:

My name is Officer Jones and I—

- Stopped you for a traffic violation
- Want to talk with you about complaints of noise.
- Want to talk to you about a crime that was committed in this area.
- Am looking for help on an issue.

This contravenes a standard police practice which is to assume an authoritative stance by refraining from providing clarity of purpose. Examples include:

- Do you know why I stopped your vehicle?
- I'm going to ask you some questions and you are going to answer them.
- What are you doing here?
- Show me some identification.

Some situations call for different officer behavior—a person behaving in a dangerous fashion, threatening the welfare of others (or their own person) dictates a more authoritative approach and language. De-escalation tactics can still operate on a foundation of Fair and Impartial policing.

Reflections from Members

This process has been a challenging, yet rewarding one. An African proverb states, "If you want to go fast, you go alone. If you want to go far, you go together." Working in a collaborative way increases the changes that our efforts will be sustained. This approach to work has it difficulties but overall it has been beneficial. We were able to leverage and share our truths, our different lived experiences in a constructive and productive way. Like an orchestra, we are a group of instrumentalists. We have great co-chairs in Sheba, Natasha and Courtney. They have conducted our efforts in such a way where harmony has been achieved. (*Brian N. Williams*)

I appreciate the input from each individual, especially since we are working towards a difficult goal on a tight deadline. The various levels of input will bring some substantive changes, if properly considered. I love the melting pot of experience that we all bring to the table and I like not being tied to an agenda so that we can freely communicate. There have been some tough conversations and I look forward to continuing this process until we create a better community for all in Richmond. (Sheba Williams)

Community Engagement and Healing Subgroup

Co-chairs: Birdie Jamison and Ram Bhagat

Members: Carol Adams, Maggie Anderson, George Brown, James Davis, Destiny Hill, Brandon Lovee, Donté McCutchen, Valaryee Mitchell, Djibril Niang, Rodney Robinson, Lyons Sanchezconcha, Iman Shabazz, Brian Swann

Student Researchers: Zachary Estees and Lana-michelle Jeglum Homola

Executive Summary

The Community Engagement and Healing subgroup (CEHS) collectively decided to focus on three specific areas to consolidate their recommendations. These areas of focus include 1) Acknowledgement of harm; 2) Community engagement programs, strategies, events and initiatives; and 3) Support of public safety, policy and practice changes that increase public trust and accountability. The CEHS has also decided to utilize an emergent strategy for racial equity, justice, and liberation as a framework to operationalize their recommendations. This framework, coined Massive Resilience, contains designated practices and processes that promote dignity, equity love, and mutual respect in order to create pathways to community engagement and healing. Massive Resilience is centered around an axis of inter-connectedness, which is based upon the principle of Ubuntu (i.e., I Am, Because We Are).

Problem Statement

The CEHS acknowledges that structural racism is an underlying cause and significant social determinant of racial health disparities, economic injustices, and disproportional violence in and against Black and Brown communities; hence, there is an urgent need to reimagine public safety by first acknowledging the harm, second building resilience for challenging systemic racism, and third adopting culturally responsive practices designed for 'Healing Community Relationships.'

Work Summary

The CEHS employed a modified consensus decision-making model to determine its work process. This approach involved using elements of the community building circle process (*i.e.*, getting acquainted, establishing trust, exploring the issues, and creative problem solving). During five weekly meetings from August 3 to August 15, 2020, members of the subcommittee generated ideas about community engagement and community healing (see figure 1).

The subcommittee examined qualitative data from some members and codified major themes using blue and green highlights for potential programs, based on identified community resources (see figure 2). Input from all members will be presented in the final report. Additionally, CEHS engaged in courageous conversations about specific programs currently offered by the Richmond Police Department (RPD), as well as the Massive Resilience framework, as presented by Carol Adams and Ram Bhagat, respectively.

At this formative stage of the process, CEHS considers the most important aspect of community engagement and healing to be "Understanding and Acknowledging the Harm." The subgroup discussed the impact of actual harm and racial trauma based on 'historical fact-finding" and "present-day fact-finding." CEHS will expand data collection (see figure 3) to include quantitative and qualitative information from the literature and through "community listening sessions."

Figure 1: CEHS Work Process

Stage	Dates	Process	Dates	Process
Empathize	8/3	Getting acquainted Generating ideas	10/6	Critical Analysis & Feedback
			10/13	Refine Plan (<i>Prototype</i>)
			10/20	
				Discuss funding structures
Define	8/18	Creating our process	10/27	Final Recommendation
Formulate	8/25	Define major themes and		
Guiding Questions		potential programs	11/2	Second Draft
			11/5	Final Proposal
Ideate	9/8	What is authentic community engagement?		
Prototype	9/15	Develop plan – Recommendations		
	9/21	Interim Report – 1 st Draft		
Test	9/22	Interim Report – Revise		
	9/29	TBD		

Figure 2: CEHS Member Overview

Carol Adams			
Agency	Richmond Police Department, Carol Adams Foundation		
Expertise	Police/community relations and outreach programs, helping police understand		
	alternative perspectives		
Resources	Police Department, Carol Adams Foundation (domestic violence assistance), RPA		
	Conversation Team (dialogues with protest leaders)		
Unlimited	Enhance existing programming		
Resources	Provide free space at her foundation for youth required to do community service		
Proposal	and/or families impacted by trauma to come play, eat home-cooked meals, learn		
	history, etc.		
Short/Long-	Defining community and healing/engagement		
Term Goals	• Identify current resources, creating a component where police do not need to be		
	<mark>called</mark>		

Maggie Anderson		
Agency	Richmond Mayor's Office	
Expertise	Policy perspective, works on Mayor's Green Team	
Resources	Mayor's Office (connections and opportunities)	
Unlimited	Believes housing is a vaccine for poverty, would improve and spread out affordable	
Resources	housing	
Proposal		
Short/Long-	(Not Present)	
Term Goals		

Ram Bhagat		
Agency	Richmond Public Schools	
Expertise	Mindfulness, restorative justice, massive resilience, education, trauma healing	
Resources	School system, Drums No Guns, Richmond Youth Peace Project, Conciliation Project,	
	artists, African senate organizations, National Association for Community Restorative	
	Justice (and state/local components)	
Unlimited	Massive resilience training for city leaders and youth	
Resources	Build up organizations focusing on trauma healing and restorative practices	
Proposal		
Short/Long-	Community conferencing circles	
Term Goals	Expanded officer training	
	Youth development/training	

George Brown		
Agency	Devoted community member, retired postal worker, youth mentor	
Expertise	Black community, historical perspective (e.g. from Civil Rights Movement)	
Resources	Historical perspective	

Unlimited	•	More equitable resource distribution (allowing people to live a decent life, and
Resources		resulting in a reduction in crime)
Proposal	•	Address wealth inequality
	•	Make teaching the highest paid profession
Short/Long-	•	Most overcome lack of political and economic power within the community
Term Goals	•	Address resistance from those that do not agree with reform
	•	Community self-policing (e.g. Guardian Angels)

Destiny Hill		
Agency	Nations Foundation	
Expertise	Trans Community in the South	
Resources	Nations Foundation, Representation of many gender-nonconforming individuals	
Unlimited	Creating an environment where everyone could be fairly compensated for their time,	
Resources	in order to fully achieve the goals of the committee	
Proposal		
Short/Long-	Focus on one community to then export as a model to other communities, don't	
Term Goals	want it to be too broad.	
	• Create a model for community to heal itself without external influence. Create	
	systems for conflict resolution to reduce shootings.	
	Dealing with the underlying issues to avoid police and community mutual distrust	

Birdie Jamison		
Agency	Richmond NAACP	
Expertise	Judicial matters as retired district court judge, experience in Richmond public schools	
Resources	Faith Leaders, Fraternities/Sororities, NAACP, lots of people who are willing to work	
	that need a leading presence	
Unlimited	(Not Specified)	
Resources		
Proposal		
Short/Long-	Developing huge, city-wide healing events	
Term Goals	Diversity training and assessment mandatory for police departments	
	Felony conviction reform, supporting the legislation proposed at the January	
	Assembly	

Djibril Niang		
Agency	African Community Network	
Expertise	African immigrant perspective	
Resources	Large community of immigrant families	
Unlimited	Increase communication (e.g. about how to access resources) to different	
Resources	communities	
Proposal		
Short/Long-	(Not Present)	
Term Goals		

Rodney Robinson		
Agency	Richmond Public Schools	
Expertise	Education, mentoring/recruiting/retaining male teachers of color, vulnerable	
	communities	
Resources	(Not specified)	
Unlimited	Proactive cooperation between schools and police department	
Resources	Investment into children and families	
Proposal		
Short/Long-	(Not Present)	
Term Goals		


Lyons Sanche	Lyons Sanchezconcha		
Agency	Richmond Public Schools		
Expertise	Latino immigrant perspective, needs school-aged children in Richmond public schools		
Resources	Students and leaders of Latinx community		
Unlimited	Improving access to things needed for COVID pandemic, finding a way for every child to		
Resources	have a loving, trusted adult presence, mandatory police awareness training, eliminating		
Proposal	superiority/inferiority relationships in police power dynamics		
Short/Long-	Hire 2-4 social workers in police department to develop an unarmed conflict		
Term Goals	response arm, skilled in de-escalation		
	History of police training and training on understanding police impact on		
	marginalized communities		
	 Suspensions for police while any negative behavior is being investigated 		
	Genuine PR on what is occurring in the mayor's office		
	Coordinating between government on non-profits to avoid duplicative efforts and		
	better utilize resources		

Iman Shabaza			
Agency	Office of Commonwealth's Attorney, Maximum Impact, and Community Unity and		
	Action		
Expertise	Revolutionary perspective, focus on entirely new ways of doing things		
Resources	Current organizers and activists in the city, personal consulting firm, Community Unity		
	and Action		
Unlimited	Redistribute resources equitably, develop marginalized communities, equitable		
Resources	education, removing wealth differences in education, give people the means to		
Proposal	empower and develop themselves		
Short/Long-	Creating a model for a community conferencing center		
Term Goals	 Building a rapport with the community to develop a model for community self- 		
	development		
	 Transforming the notion of needing to call the police for public safety 		
	Developing models to solicit feedback on different communities on the things they		
	need to feel safe and healthy, members develop their own models with their own		
	input		
	True evaluation and training that elicits a real understanding of racial prejudice on		
	communities, deeper accountability in public safety agencies.		

Brian Swann			
Agency	Richmond Redevelopment and Housing Authority		
Expertise	Public safety, knowledge of area (worked in RVA for 35 years)		
Resources	Leadership, community members that the Task Force serves		
Unlimited	Triage center with quick access to every resource		
Resources	Improve standard and quality of mixed-income housing		
Proposal			
Short/Long-	(Not present)		
Term Goals			

Criteria

Figure 3: CEHS Data Collection Strategy



Recommendation	Areas of Focus	Examples of Evidence Based Models
Community Conferencing Circles	Community engagement strategy and initiative	Restorative Response Baltimore
2. Community Healing Circles	Community engagement strategy and initiative	Healing and Rebuilding Our Communities Restorative Justice for Oakland Youth
3. Community Listening Sessions	Community engagement program and strategy (Capacity building and Reciprocal learning)	Beyond Containment Initiatives of Change, USA Storefront for Community Design
4. Conflict Resolution Training	Community engagement program and strategy (Capacity building)	Richmond Youth Peace Project
5. Massive Resilience Training	Community engagement program, strategy, event(s) and initiative.	Urban Trauma, Restorative Practices, Mindfulness (RMHF), Artfulness Practices, (RPS, PIA, ICA, ART180)
6. Food Justice Corridor	Community engagement strategy	Community Unity in Action
7. Monthly or Quarterly Events	Community engagement programs, strategies, events and initiatives	National Day of Racial Healing Massive Resilience Summit Generation Dream Edu~Concert
8. Create a Center for Racial Justice	Support of public safety, policy and practice changes that will increase public trust and accountability	Center for Psychology and Culture ROCA

Here is an overview of recommendations from the Community Engagement and Healing subgroup. This interim report contains a descriptive title for each proposal with some descriptions and examples of best practices. The remaining details will be completed by the final report, including specific benefits for the Richmond community.

Recommendation #1: Community Conferencing Circles

- Community Conferencing A form of Community Arbitration for Restorative Engagement
 (C.A.R.E.) a Culturally Responsive Diversion Program proposed for a Community-Based
 Restorative Justice Center, where restorative processes are implemented to increase
 community capacity for accountability and responsible justice. This process will be
 designed to empower community residents with their own means of resolving disputes
 that would otherwise be settled by traditional adjudicatory courses of action.
- Examples of Evidence-Based Models
 - Restorative Response Baltimore https://www.restorativeresponse.org

Recommendation #2: Community Healing Circles

- Examples of Evidence-Based Models
 - Healing and Rebuilding Our Communities (HROC) https://friendspeaceteams.org/hroc/
 - o Restorative Justice for Oakland Youth (RJOY) http://rjoyoakland.org

Recommendation #3: Community Listening Sessions

Recommendation #4: Conflict Resolution Training

Recommendation #5: Massive Resilience Training

- Urban Trauma & Restorative Practices
 - Mindfulness & Artfulness Practices
 - Widespread community excitement for, and commitment, to strategies for "Massive Resilience!"

Recommendation #6: Food Justice Corridor

The food justice corridor is a community engagement strategy developed by Community Unity in Action (CUIA) that combines food access with urban farm development and social enterprise, in order to promote community wellness and enrich community growth, empowerment, and transformation.

Recommendation #7: Monthly or Quarterly Community Healing Events

- Examples of Evidence-Based Models
 - Generation Dream Edu~Concert https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AHK1EjFOgZU

Recommendation #8: Create a Center for Racial & Social Justice

- Examples of Evidence-Based Models
 - o ROCA https://rocainc.org/work/our-intervention-model/

Note: Intersection of Community Engagement and Reduction in Use of Excessive Force

- Cohoots Program in Eugene, Oregon
 - Mental Health Responders (e.g. MDP Alert) https://whitebirdclinic.org/cahoots/
- Similar Program in Tuscon, Arizona